

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17943 of 2024

Pensioner Karmchari Sangathan, Nagar Parishad Sasaram through its Chairman Dasharath Ram @ Dasrath Ram, aged about 77 years, male, s/o of Late Ramrati Ram, resident of Mohalla- Gandhi Nagar, Police Station- Sasaram (Model), District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principle Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas, Sasaram.
4. The Nagar Parishad Sasaram, through its Executive Officer, Now Nagar Nigam Sasaram through its Municipal Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Sasaram.
5. The Municipal Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Surendra Kumar Choubey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, GA- 8
For Nagar Nigam	:	Mr. Bajrangi Lal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 26-11-2024 Heard learned Advocates for the parties.

2. The petitioner, who is claiming himself to be the Chairman of the Pensioner Karmchari Sangathan, Nagar Parishad, Sasaram approached before this Court seeking a direction upon the respondent authorities to extend the benefit of pay scale of 6th Pay Revision w.e.f. 01.01.2006 to the retired eligible employees/pensioners of Nagar Parishad Sasaram/Nagar Nigam, Sasaram, which is made effective in Nagar Parishad, Sasaram.



3. At the outset, learned Advocate for the Municipal Corporation has drawn the attention of this Court to Annexure-P/1 to the writ petition where some of the employees of the Sasaram Municipal Corporation, including the petitioner of the present case, approached before this Court for identical relief. It is further contended that it has also not been disclosed that as to whether the association of the petitioner is a registered association or not. Moreover, the Association cannot be allowed to agitate the claim of the members in a service matter is the contention of the learned Advocate for the Municipal Corporation.

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner had earlier approached before this Court for identical relief wherein the Court had given liberty to the petitioner and others to file a detailed representation before the Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Sasaram within four weeks from today with a direction to consider the same in accordance with law and pass a speaking order, this Court does not find any reason to entertain the present writ petition.

5. The petition stands closed.

6. However, the closure of the writ petition would not come in the way of the other employees of the Municipal



Corporation to agitate his/their grievance by filing separate writ
petition.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

