

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82396 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-417 Year-2023 Thana- BARAUNI District- Begusarai

=====

AMRIT SINGH @ BOTLA@ AMRIT KUMAR S/O NEPO SINGH
VILLAGE- BIHAT KHEMKARANPUR, PS. BARAUNI (F.C.I.) DIST.
BEGUSARAI.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, Advocate

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

9 20-07-2024 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in
connection with Barauni P.S. Case No. 417 of 2023 registered
for the offence under Sections 302, 201, 120B/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is to
have committed murder of the husband of the informant along
with other co-accused persons.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is submitted that there is no eye-witness to the present occurrence. It is submitted that it appears from FIR that deceased was threatened by other co-accused person, namely, Tirath Singh and there is no allegation as regard to threatening against this petitioner and also he has no concern with the dispute between the deceased and co-accused person, Tirath Singh. It is submitted that specific allegation as regard to causing death has not been levelled against this petitioner rather the allegation has been levelled against this petitioner is general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State, opposes the prayer of bail of the petitioner.

6. In view of the submissions and nature of allegation, as made above and as it appears from perusal of case diary that there is involvement of the petitioner in the present murder case, where, investigation of this case has already been concluded and also it appears from perusal of postmortem report that cause of death of the deceased is due to neurogenic and haemorrhagic shock caused by firearm, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



7. Accordingly, the prayer of anticipatory bail
of the petitioner is rejected herewith.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

S.Katyayan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

