

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2183 of 2024

-
1. Prem Shankar Narain Son of Late Sachidanand Narain Resident of Village-Pokariya, P.S.-Samastipur, District-Samastipur, at Present Flat No. 403 4th Floor B Block, Vasavi S Grand Vista, Kanajiguda Alwal, P.S.-Trimulgherry, District-Telangana (Hydrabad)
 2. Shiv Shankar Narayan Son of Late Sachidanand Narain Resident of Village-Pokariya, P.S.-Samastipur, District-Samastipur at Present A2/63 Varun Vihar Apartment Sector-03, Rohini North West Delhi, P.S.-Vijay Nagar, District-Delhi.
 3. Most Shashiprabha Narayan Wife of Late Umashankar Narayan Resident of Village-Pokariya, P.S.-Samastipur, District-Samastipur at Present A2/63 Varun Vihar Apartment Sector-03, Rohini North West Delhi, P.S.-Vijay Nagar, District-Delhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Revenue and Land Reforms Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Samastipur.
3. The Block Development Officer, Samastipur.
4. The Circle Officer, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kr. Singh (Aag13)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 16-05-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners have prayed for the following
reliefs:

(i) for issuance of writ/ writs in the
nature of mandamus commanding
the respondents to immediately



relies the land of the petitioner which has been acquired by the respondent treated as a land which came under ceiling land;

(ii) for commanding the respondent as to why the purchase land of the petitioner has been acquired as a ceiling land;

(iii) for commanding the respondent to immediately free the land of the petitioner which has been treated as a ceiling land;

(iv) for any other writ/writs, order / orders/ direction/ directions as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper as the facts and circumstances of this case.

3. A bare perusal of the petition would show that that the important facts of the case is/are missing inasmuch, the petitioners have failed to mention even the land ceiling case number as also the year.

4. In that background, the petitioners prays for



withdrawal of the petition.

5. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

