

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82739 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-84 Year-2023 Thana- KALER District- Jehanabad

BIMLESH KUMAR Son of Chaman Rajvanshi R/o vill - Arai, PS.
-Daudnagar, Distt. - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Avinash Raj, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 07-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Kaler P.S. Case No.84 of 2023 lodged under Sections 394 and
307 of the I.P.C. read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been
lodged against unknown accused persons with allegation of
robbery against the unknown accused persons who have also
fired upon the informant during the loot, due to which he
injured.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits
that the case has been filed against unknown criminals and
petitioner is not named in the F.I.R.. He submits that the name
of petitioner has figured in this case only due to the reason that



the looted mobile has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

5. Counsel further submits that SIM card was in the name of petitioner's mother and the mobile was purchased by the petitioner's father.

6. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2023 having clean antecedent and charge-sheet has already been filed in this case.

7. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that case diary has been called for in which it has been disclosed by the petitioner that the name of other accused persons involvement are there in the present case and the looted mobile has been found in the possession of the petitioner.

8. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected, but liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that he may renew his prayer for bail 9 months after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

