

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84017 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-261 Year-2024 Thana- SALIMPUR District- Patna

Santosh kumar S/o Kamlesh Singh Resident of village- Rukunpura, P.S.-
Salimpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate
: Mr. Kumar Rajdeep
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2024 Heard Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned Senior Counsel
for the State and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Salimpur P.S. Case No. 261 of 2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 178, 179, 180, 181, 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, lodged on 03.09.2024 by the informant, Krishna Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that upon information about fake notes circulation, the place was raided and beside bundle of fake notes, aadhar cards, driving license, pan card, voter-id and cheque books were recovered. From the pocket of the petitioner, a fake note was



also seized. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits that he is an auto driver, have no knowledge about the said printing/circulation of fake notes, had taken the accused to his place and was given the amount little realizing that it is a fake note and he will be implicated in the case.

5. Learned APP on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail and submitted that a perusal of the F.I.R. would show that vast amount of fake notes beside printing materials to print the fake notes of Rs.500/- denomination have been seized and in that background, it is not a fit case for grant of bail.

6. Considering the submissions put forward by the parties as also the kind of materials that have been recovered, it seems that the accused persons were in the parallel business of movement of fake notes. There is a recovery of note from his pocket, in this background, for the present, this Court is not inclined to extend him the privilege of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail petition stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

