

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82019 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-438 Year-2022 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

Bechan Yadav, aged about 50 years (male) Son Of Late Sogindra Yadav R/O
Village- Rajajan, P.S.- Mansi, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2024 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any,

within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Mansi P.S Case No. 438 of 2022 dated
22.12.2022 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 35 litres of
country made illicit liquor was recovered from the bank of
river.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The co-accused has already granted anticipatory bail by the Coordinate Bench of this court vide order dated 29.04.2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 12648 of 2023. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and



circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Khagaria in connection with Mansi P.S Case No. 438 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

