

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84245 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-266 Year-2024 Thana- MAHNAR District- Vaishali

Vikrant @ Bablo Kumar Son of Raj Kumar Singh Resident of Village -
Paharpur, P.S. - Mahnar, District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Paramshila Devi Wife of Sakal Singh Resident of Village - Paharpur, P.S. - Mahnar, District - Vaishali.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-12-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Mahnar P.S. Case No. 266 of 2024 for the offence punishable under Sections 308(3), 64(1), 126(2), 72, 352 of BNS and section 8 of POCSO Act lodged on 03.08.2024 by the informant, Paramshila Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that taking advantage relationship with the family, the petitioner took the minor daughter, threatened her of dire consequences and sexually exploited her. A video was also made and blackmailed her to make the video viral. On the fateful day, the girl was dragged on the point of arms and during the dragging, the cloths were also torn completely outraging her modesty when the informant's side refused to accept the proposal of the solemnization of the



marriage, the accused started moving around the village, showing the video to all the villagers, completely maligning the family as also the minor girl, forced with no option, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is belated delay in lodging of the FIR, no such incident took place, exaggerated FIR is there and as such, the petitioner deserves bail.

5. Learned APP on the other hand has taken this Court to the learned Sessions Judge order to show that the girl has narrated her ordeal under section 183 of BNSS and has supported her sexual exploitation.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid submission as also the allegation that has come against the petitioner, it is not only abuse/sexual assault to a minor girl, a private video was made public which was shown to all the villagers as alleged in the FIR, this in the opinion of the Court definitely has maligning the family much less the minor girl, in that background, no relief can be granted to him.

7. The bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

