

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2023 Thana- GOVINDPUR District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the State : Mr. Binay Krishna, APP

For the Informant : Mr. Vatsal Verma, Advocate

ORAL ORDER

11 21-09-2024

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner has

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is not supported by the medical evidence.

5. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the statement of the victim was recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. in which she has categorically stated that the petitioner has committed wrong with her. It has further come during investigation that the age of the victim was 8 years at the time of the alleged occurrence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, nature of allegation as well as the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Govindpur P.S. Case No. 116 of 2023 pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Exclusive Special Judge, (POCSO Act), Nawada.

7 . Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

