

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83006 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1004 Year-2023 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR TOWN District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Rahul Kumar, Son of Ranjeet Pandey Resident of Patahi, Bhagwanpur, P.S. -
Sadar, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Upendra Kumar Chaubey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Iftekhar Mahmood

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 06-12-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
allegation is of recovery of 648 litres of liquor from an auto
and a pick-up vehicle as detailed in the FIR.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such,
nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is



not the owner of any of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Ganour Sahni in police custody, which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge Exclusive Excise Court No.1, Muzaffarpur in connection with Muzaffarpur Town P. S. Case No.1004 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if



it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

