

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79859 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-604 Year-2023 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

SANGEETA DEVI W/O RAMESHWAR DAS R/O VILLAGE- GOFA
KALA, P.S.- BODH GAYA, DISTRICT- GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Pandey (App 84)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
make necessary correction in the Cause Title.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 604 of 2023 dated
17.07.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 05 litres of Mahua
liquor was recovered from the co-accused Rameshwar Das.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. The recovery was made from the house of the co-accused person. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner is a lady. Local villagers disclosed the name of the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender



within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Gaya in connection with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 604 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

