

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17954 of 2024

=====

Varun Kumar Rajak Son of Kamleshwar Razak, R/o village- Pathra, P.S. -
Barahat, District- Banka (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. That State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Directorate Vaikalpik Evam Navachari Shiksha (Alternative and Innovative Education) Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Banka, Banka District.
4. The District Education Officer, Banka Banka District.
5. The Block Education Officer, Barahat, Banka District.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Banka, District- Banka.
7. The Headmaster, Middle School Bhanga Pathra, Banka District.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shiw Kumar Prabhakar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (05)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 27-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the present writ application for directing the respondents to pay him remuneration for working on the post of Tola Seval/Shiksha sevak.

3. The Shiksha Sevak not a statutory post in nature and no recruitment rules are followed for their appointment. It arises under the scheme and the appointment is contractual for one year.

4. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in the matter of Tola Sewak, in CWJC No. 18107 of 2016, has held as follows:

"The learned counsel for the respondents has raised a preliminary objection regarding maintainability of the present writ petition and has referred to a judgment



dated 17.08.2015 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWJC No. 12390 of 2015 as well as to a judgment dated 02.02.2017 rendered by the learned Division Bench of this Court in L.P.A. No. 2185 of 2015 whereby and where under it has been held that since the selection or engagement or hiring of the Tola Sevak is not a permanent appointment under the State, which is required to be considered under Article 226 of the Constitution of India as well as since the post of Tola Sevak is under a scheme, the same does not create any statutory right in favour of the petitioner, hence writ petitions in such matters as that of Tola Sevaks are not maintainable. Having regard to the aforesaid judgments rendered by a coordinate Bench of this Court as also by the learned Division Bench of this Court, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petition is not maintainable, hence the same is dismissed.”

5. Order passed by the co-ordinate Bench in the aforesaid writ application has been affirmed by a Division Bench of this Court, holding that the writ petition is not maintainable.

6. Taking into consideration aforesaid judgment of this Court and the fact that Tola Sevak/Talimi Markaz does not hold civil post as well as the same is not a statutory post, I also come to the conclusion that the writ application is not maintainable.

7. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

8. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to take recourse to such other remedies as are available under the law.

Shashi

U			
---	--	--	--

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

