

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78855 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-570 Year-2023 Thana- TAJPUR District- Samastipur

Shyam Singh @ Shyam Kumar Singh Son Of Harishchandra Singh Resident
Of Village - Bahudara Morsand, Police Station - Pusa, District - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 04-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Tajpur (Waini O.P.) P.S. Case No. 570 of 2023 dated 03.10.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 2514.240 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the truck. The apprehended persons disclosed the name of the petitioner as the dealer of liquor and the owner of the seized *Vivo* mobile phone.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has two criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the



bail petition. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the apprehended persons. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said truck. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Tajpur (Waini O.P.) P.S. Case No. 570 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

