

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78707 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-858 Year-2023 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

Uma Paswan Son Of Late Daroga Paswan @ Durga Paswan R/O Village-
Bhaluwa, P.S.- Raghunathpur, Dist.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shaheen Begum, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 03-01-2024 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within
a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Turkauliya (Raghunathpur) P.S. Case No. 858
of 2023 dated 03.08.2023 for the offence/s punishable u/ss 272
and 273 of the IPC and sections 30(a) and 41(i) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 21 litres of
country made liquor was recovered from the sack which was
allegedly thrown by the petitioner.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The recovery was made from an open place that is accessible to anyone. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The name of the petitioner has surfaced only on mere suspicion. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.



7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Turkauliya (Raghunathpur) P.S. Case No. 858 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

