

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16765 of 2023

1. Om Prakash S/o Deo Prasad Singh, Resident of -Rewa, District- Patna Pin- 801113.
2. Ranjeet Kumar, S/o Raj Kishore Singh, Resident of village- Jangaliya Tola, District Patna- 801106.
3. Arvind Kumar S/o Late Shyam Bihari Singh, Resident of village- Bill Narayanpur, P.O. Madhopur, via-Maner, District- Patna, Pin- 801108
4. Anjani Kumar S/o Suresh Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Sriram Colony, P.O. East Laxmi Nagar, New Jaganpura, District- Patna- 800027
5. Ajay Kumar S/o Sri Ramanand, Resident of village- Aakha, Pila Chak Patna-801102.
6. Rakesh Kumar Singh, S/o Ganauri Singh, resident of village and P.O. Kurthol, District Patna- 804453.
7. Sudhir Kumar, S/o Surya Kumar Singh, Resident of Korhar, P.S. Bihta, District- Patna 801101.
8. Manish Kumar, S/o Raghu Nath Prasad, Resident of village- Mobarakpur, District- Patna-801503.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Old Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Bihar Rajya Sanik Kalyan Nideshalaya, Office at- Main secretariat.Block-2, Second Floor, Patna, Bihar.
3. Assistant Director, Bihar Rajyya Sanik Kalyan Office at- Second Block-2, Nideshalaya, Main Secretariat, Floor, Patna, Bihar.
4. District Solider, Welfare Officer District Soldier Welfare Office, (Zila Sanik Kalvan Office) Office at -Albert Ekta Bhawan, Amarnath Path, Adalat Gani, Patna.
5. State Bank of India through Chairperson, State Bank Bhawan, Central Office, 8th Floor,Madame Cama Marg, Mumbai, Maharastra.
6. General Manager, State Bank of India, Local Head Office, 5th Floor, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna -80001.
7. Assistant General Manager(HR), State Bank Of India, Human Resource Department, Local Head Office, 8th Floor, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna.



... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anurag Saurav, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Upendra Prasad Singh, AC to GP-25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For Issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent authorities to vitiate the entire Selection Process for "Recruitment of Ex- Service men in Permanent Vacancies Of Bank Guard" at State Bank of India, Whereas the entire selection process has been initiated without issuance and publication of any Advertisement by the Respondent Authority and being arbitrary and on pick and choose basis, Whereas the selection process has been initiated on the request of respondent no.5 to respondent no.2 for providing detail list of Ex-Army Officer after preliminary screening and on the basis of list respondent no.5 making call to the candidates on pick and choose basis, without following any established procedure of selection and the appointment of candidates were initiated, solely on the discretion of Respondent State Bank of India.

(ii). For Issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent authority to



initiate a fresh selection after making advertisement and following the due process of selection of Ex-Servicemen in Permanent Vacancies of Bank Guard at different Branches of respondent SBI.

(iii). For Issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent authority specially respondent no.5 to consider the candidature of the petitioners after issuing letter and making a call for "Physical Test", whereas petitioners' name were mentioned in the list prepared by respondent no.4 i.e "District Soldier Welfare Officer", but in spite of that petitioners have not been called for Physical Test by respondent bank and no reason has been assigned for rejection of their candidature.

(iv). For restraining the respondent authority and granting stay on the entire selection process of Ex- Servicemen in Permanent Vacancies of Bank Guard at Respondent Bank, as the entire selection process has been initiated in suspicious manner on pick and choose basis, without making any advertisement and following selection procedure.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to approach the Respondent authorities for redressal of their aforesaid



grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

