

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80538 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-910 Year-2018 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Dinesh @ Thannu Sonkar @ Thunnu Sonkar S/o- Late Ramesh Sonkar
Resident of Village-Gidhauri Behind Sai Temple, Mughal Sarai, P.S.-Mughal
Sarai, Distt-Chandauli (U.P)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 20-12-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offence punishable under Section 414 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. Earlier by order dated 02.08.2024 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 40477 of 2024, the prayer for regular bail of the
petitioner was rejected by this Court, with a liberty to renew the
prayer for bail after framing of charge. Now, he has renewed his
prayer for bail.

4. As per the prosecution case, On the basis of
written application of the informant, Ravi Shankar Pandey, ASI
of Sasaram (Model) P.S., the prosecution case in brief is that on



09.06.2018 for verification of secret information of his superior, the informant along with police personnel at about 6:45 AM arrived near Electric Office, Fazalganj, Sasaram and saw two boys parked their motorcycle tried to snap photographs but on seeing the police they tried to flee away but they were apprehended and on asking they disclosed their name as Ranjeet Kumar and Amarjeet Kumar and, on demand of documents regarding their motorcycles, they failed to produce the valid documents. Accordingly, in presence of two independent witnesses seizure list was prepared. It is alleged that accused persons including the petitioner are indulged in selling and purchasing of the theft motorcycles.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case. He submits that no incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. He further submits that charge has been framed against the petitioner (Annexure-P/2 of this application). He next submits that the petitioner is languishing in custody since 25.10.2023. The petitioner has ten criminal antecedents as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

6. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer



for bail.

7. Considering the facts aforesaid, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Sasaram (Model) P.S. Case No. 910 of 2018, subject to the further condition that petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the learned Trial Court.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

