

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82654 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-198 Year-2022 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

Devendra Kumar Sharma @Nanki S/O Chhotelal Sharma R/O Mohalla/Vill-
Jitwarpur Kumhra, Ps. Sarairanjan, Dist. Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kedar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 07-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 198/2022, lodged on 21.07.2022
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been
lodged against the unknown accused persons alleging therein
that they have committed robbery of a laptop, charger, finger
scanner machine, and other documents from the informant at
gunpoint.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The FIR
has been lodged against the unknown but since the antecedent of
the petitioner is not clean as the petitioner is accused in six more



criminal cases and due to this reason, the police have falsely roped the petitioner in the present case. The petitioner is in custody since 26.08.2022 and the chargesheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that it has come in the case diary as well as in the rejection order that looted articles have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 198/2022, pending before the learned ACJM, III, Samastipur is hereby rejected.

9. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail, six months after framing of charge.

10. With this observation, the bail application stands disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

