

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3224 of 2023

In
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2079 of 2016

=====

M/s. Dina Iron and Steel Limited, Abdul Rahmanpur Road, Didarganj, P.S.-
Malsalami, Patna City, District Patna, through its Director, Sanjay Kumar
Bharatiya, aged about 53 years (Male), resident of Rambag Chouk, Jhauganj,
Patna City, District Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board (now South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited) Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna, through its Chairman officiating at Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The General Manager-cum-Chief Engineer, Patna Electric Supply Undertaking, Mangles Road, Patna.
3. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Supply, Patna City, Patna.
4. The Director General of Vigilance, Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna.
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance, Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna.
6. The Electrical Executive Engineer (Vigilance), Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna.
7. The Inspector General of Vigilance, Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna.
8. The Central Power Research Institute, Switch Gear Testing and Development Station, Govindpur, Bhopal, through its Chief Executive Officer,

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Sr. Advocate
	Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate
	Mr. Venkatesh Kirti, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 26-02-2024 Heard Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, learned Counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, learned Senior Counsel

who representing the informant.

2. In this case, a reasoned order was passed on

27.07.2023 in M.J.C. No. 2078 of 2016 and its analogous case



with certain directions as incorporated in paragraph 7 of the order.

3. Now, a modification petition has been preferred in which it has been stated that the meter was installed for 91 days and had recorded consumption of 71 days for which payments were made and as such, once the calculation is made, the payments so made has to be deducted.

4. Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, learned Senior Counsel submits that the said statement is bereft of any supporting document. He further submits that the office will examine and if such payment has been made, once the amount is calculated, the same shall be considered and appropriate order shall be passed regarding its deduction.

5. Having clarified the said facts, the opposite party shall look into the said aspect while calculating the entire amount.

6. The M.J.C. No. 3224 of 2023 stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Neha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

