

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.27 of 2024

=====

Bir Bahadur Singh Son of Late Basdev Singh, Resident of village and P.O.-
Merahi, P.S- M.H. Nagar, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, General Administration,
Department, Main Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Siwan.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Siwan.
5. Officer-in-Charge, District General Section.
6. The Circle Officer, Hasanpura, District- Siwan.
7. The Officer-in-Charge, M. H. Nagar, Police Station, Hasanpura, District-
Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ajay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.S.D. Yadav (Aag9)
		Mr.Braj Bhushan Mishra, AC to AAG--9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

“1(I) For a direction on the respondents particularly, the respondent Officer-in-Charge, District General Section, Siwan (Resp. no.5) against his office orders dated 27.2.23 and 20.3.23 whereby, the application of petitioner seeking V.R.S. & consequential benefits have been objected stating therein, about the clerical mistake in calculating the retirement date by the petitioner, the petitioner has correctly stated his date of birth as 22.5.63, based upon which his normal retirement date is 31.5.23, as such his V.R.S. requests dated 23.2.23 as well as



31.3.23 are much before his normal retirement date as per norms hence, objections made by the authority is fit to be rejected by the Hon'ble Court.

(II) For a further direction on the respondents to appoint the son of petitioner namely Golu Kumar as a Chaukidar in his place as provided in the policy decision dated 15.3.14 of the Home Department for which petitioner has further requested on 27.3.23.

(III) For a further direction on the respondents to pay the cost against causing physical, mental and financial harassment to the petitioner.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Siwan for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. It is needless to state that in case appropriate petition is filed, by the petitioner, before the District Magistrate, Siwan, within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered, in accordance with law and a reasoned and a speaking order shall be passed, thereon, within a period of six weeks, thereafter.

4. The present writ petition stands disposed off as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

