

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-99 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhijeet Gautam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dashrath Mehta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-12-2024 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has antecedent of three cases and allegation is of recovery of 94.5 litres of liquor from a field near the house of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to public at large, but then is adjacent to his house, accordingly he came to be implicated at the instance of villagers but then the FIR does not disclose the name of the person who disclosed the name of



the petitioner, which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that police falsely implicated petitioner because of his antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Patori Excise P.S. Case No. 99 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of more than three cases, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

