

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77676 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2024 Thana- UJIYARPUR District- Samastipur

Manjay Lal Yadav Son of Late Muni Lal Yadav R/O Vill.- Shitalpatti, P.S.-
Sarai Ranjan, Dist.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anish Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 255/2024 dated 18.09.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a), 41(1) and 41(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 485.865 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the Scorpio.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has 12 criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of the said vehicle and the same was not being



driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 08.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 73879 of 2024. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Samastipur in connection with Ujiyarpur P.S. Case No. 255/2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure with further conditions :-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

