

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16888 of 2023

=====

Anand Kumar Prasad S/o Late Mahendra Prasad, R/o village- Mehsi
Madarichak, P.S. - Mehsi, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Director, Animal Husbandry, Department,
Government of Bihar.
2. Regional Director, Animal Husbandry Department, North Bihar Region,
Muzaffarpur.
3. District Animal Husbandry Officer, Government of Bihar, West Champaran,
Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyank Deepak, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (Aag12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*"1(I) For issuance of a writ in the nature of
MANDAMUS giving directions to the
Respondents to grant benefits of 2nd A.C.P
and MACP along with its arrear of salary to
the petitioner and further direct the
authorities to fix the pension and other retiral
benefits after grant of 2nd A.C.P. and MACP
benefits and pay its arrears*

*II. For issuance of writ or writs/order or
orders/direction or directions in the nature of
writ of Mandamus directing the respondents
to treat the period w.e.f. 19.07.1966 to*



31.01.2001 as regular service for all purposes as in the aforesaid period whereby petitioner worked Livestock Assistant in Respondent State. The Respondents have unreasonably and malafidely have not counted the aforesaid period as qualifying service for the purpose of counting ACP/MACP.

III. For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to treat his initial appointment w.e.f. 19.07.1966 as regular appointment with all consequential benefits including the benefits under the ACP Scheme and fix the pension of the petitioner accordingly and directing Respondents for payment of arrears of subsistence allowance and other arrears accordingly.

IV. That this Hon'ble Court also further be pleased to direct the respondents to pay the all consequential benefits and award compensation with 12% simple interest to the petitioner for unnecessary harassment caused to the petitioner."

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his



aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

