

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79963 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-526 Year-2023 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

-
1. Rahul Singh @ Rahul Kumar Son Of Vyas Singh Resident Of Village-
Pashpura (WARD No. 17), P.S.- Mufassil, District-Begusarai
 2. Rupak Singh @ Rupak Kumar Son Of Jaddu Singh Resident Of Village-
Pashpura (WARD No. 17), P.S.- Mufassil, District-Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Veena Kumari Jaiswal

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2024 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner No. 2 has been arrested. Accordingly, he seeks

permission to withdraw the present application.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Accordingly, the present application is dismissed as

withdrawn.



6. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S Case No. 526 of 2023 dated 09.09.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

7. As per the prosecution case, total 117 litres of illicit liquor has been recovered from filed of the co-accused Raja Singh.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

9. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently



opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

10. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Begusarai in connection with G.R. No. 1339 of 2023 arising out of Muffasil P.S Case No. 526 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

