

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17471 of 2024

=====

Jayendra Kumar Singh @ Jayendra Singh, Son of Late Kailash Singh resident of Village- Pararia, P.O.- Ghatera, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Additional Collector, Gaya.
4. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Gaya.
5. Ram Sewak Singh Son of Late Kailash Singh resident of Village- Puraniya, P.O.- Ghatera, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.
6. Gaurabh Kumar Son of Jayendra Singh resident of Village- Puraniya, P.O.- Ghatera, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.
7. Saurabh Kumar Son of Jayendra Singh. resident of Village- Puraniya, P.O.- Ghatera, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (23)
		Mr. AC to SC-23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-11-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AC to SC-23 for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that petitioner would be satisfied in the event, if the writ application is disposed of with a direction to the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Gaya to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner on 28.09.2024 (Annexure- P/5) to the writ application.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the



Land Acquisition Officer in L.A. Case No.01 of 2024-25 has issued notice in favour of respondent no.5 for seeking compensation of an amount of Rs.3181791/- with respect to land pertaining to Khata No.65 Khesra No.41, Mauza-Pannia, P.S.-Guraru, District- Gaya as the land measuring 5.39 acres has been acquired for the purposes of construction of Four-Lane under Bharat Mala Project. The learned State counsel further submits that petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the notice has been sent to his brother for seeking compensation, when he is also one of the owner of the land in question for which he had represented before the District Magistrate, Gaya vide Annexure-P/5.

4. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and without going into the merits of the case, the writ application is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Gaya to dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 28.09.2024 (Annexure- P/5) within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, if possible.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

