

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18341 of 2022

Jay Kumar Singh aged about 68 years (Male), son of Late Yamuna Prasad Singh, R/O Mohalla-Kanti Kunj, Batachak, North Road, Phulwari PS, Pulwari, District-Patna. 800024

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The B.R.A. Bihar University Muzaffarpur through its Vice Chancellor, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Registrar, B.R.A Bihar University Muzaffarpur ,
5. The J.P. University, Chapra though its Vice Chancellor, Saran at Chapra.
6. The Registrar, J.P. University, Saran at Chapra,
7. The Principal, Gopeshwar College, Hathua, Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. Shankar Kumar Thakur,
For BRA University	:	Mr. Binay Kumar Singh,
For J.P. University	:	Mr. Ravi Bhushan Verma, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

4 19-02-2024 No one appears for the petitioner.

2. Heard Mr. Shankar Kumar, learned counsel for Respondents No. 1 and 2, Mr. Binay Kumar Singh, learned counsel for Respondents No. 3 and 4/B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur and Mr. Ravi Bhushan Verma, learned counsel for Respondents No. 5 and 6/J.P. University, Chapra.

3. The petitioner, who retired on attaining the age of superannuation as Reader in Zoology Department from Gopeshwar College, Hathua, Gopalganj on 31.12.2019, has preferred this writ petition praying for payment of post



retirement dues, such as, group insurance, gratuity, differential arrears towards pension etc.

4. Counter affidavits on behalf of Respondents No. 1 and 2 as well as 3 and 4 have been filed.

5. Mr. Ravi Bhushan Verma, learned counsel representing Respondents No. 5 and 6 submits that it is the Respondents No. 5 and 6 who are the authorities to finally pay the retirement dues to the petitioner which the Respondents No. 5 and 6 have accordingly, done. Though, no counter affidavit on behalf of Respondents No. 5 and 6 has been filed, Mr. Verma, learned counsel for Respondents No. 5 & 6 submits that the relief(s) sought for by the petitioner in this writ petition has already been paid to the petitioner. He further submits that he had spoken to the learned counsel representing the petitioner, Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh who has authorized him to submit before this Court that since relief, sought for by the petitioner in the instant writ petition, has been redressed by the respondents No. 5 & 6, the writ petition may be closed as infructuous.

6. Accordingly, on the basis of submissions made by Mr. Verma, learned counsel representing Respondents No. 5 & 6 that he has been authorized by Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner to make a submission for closure of



the instant writ petition as infructuous, this writ petition stands
disposed of as infructuous.

(Nani Tagia, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

