

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18643 of 2024

=====

Saroj Kumari W/o- Anil Kumar Singh R/o- Vill- Paharpur, P.O.-Baraila, P.S.-
Shivsagar, District- Rohtas. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Kaimur, (Bhabua).
4. The District Programme Officer (estt.) Kaimur (Bhabua).
5. The Block Development Officer, Adhaura, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
6. The Block Education Officer, Adhaura, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Dumaraon, Block Adhaura, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Dumraon, Block- Adhaura, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rajeev Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, GA-10
		Mr. Jitendra Kumar, AC to GA-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-12-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
AC to GA-10.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State straightaway draws the attention of the Court to Annexure-P/7 at Page-23 of the writ application, i.e., order dated 17-8-2022 in CWJC No. 3684 of 2021 (Saroj Kumari vs the State of Bihar & Ors.). The learned State counsel submits that it appears that petitioner had earlier moved before this Court against the order of termination, which is impugned in the instant writ petition also. It is next submitted that this Hon'ble Court disposed of CWJC No. 3684 of 2021 by order dated 17-8-2022



with a direction to the petitioner that if she is aggrieved by the subsequent action of the authorities in terminating her services, she is free to prefer an appeal before the concerned District Appellate Authority/State Appellate Authority as the case may be in terms of the judgement dated 23-2-2022 passed by this Court in CWJC No. 5489 of 2020 (Suresh Ram vs. the State of Bihar & Ors.)

3. The learned State counsel next submits that it appears that the petitioner, instead of moving before the concerned forum in terms of the order dated 17-8-2022 in CWJC No. 3684 of 2021, has again moved before this Court by filing the instant writ petition.

4. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ application with liberty to raise all issues before an appropriate forum in accordance with law.

5. Permission is accorded.

6. Accordingly, the instant writ application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

