

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16969 of 2024

=====

Rajdeo Yadav Son of Sarjun Yadav, Resident of Mohalla- Bangali Bigha,
Chandauti, P.S.- Chandauti, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Land Reform and Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reform, Gaya Sadar Gaya.
4. The Circle Officer, Chandauti, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 12-11-2024

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for
the following relief(s):-

(i) For issuance of the appropriate writ/writs, order/orders or direction/directions to the respondent authorities to upload the revenue details of the piece of the Land situated under Mauja- Katari, Thana No.182, Khata No.297, Plot No.189, Area 74 decimal on the Website of the Revenue Department, Government of Bihar and issue online Revenue Receipts with regard to aforesaid Land in favour of petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has limited grievance to upload the revenue details of piece of land in question on the website of Revenue Department, Government of Bihar and to issue online revenue receipts of land in question in favour of petitioner.



Learned counsel has submitted that he has made an application before the Circle Officer, Chandauti, Gaya (Annexure-P3) regarding issuance of online revenue receipts of land in question.

3. Learned counsel for the State has submitted that Annexure-P3 does not bear any receipt of the office of Circle Officer, Chandauti, Gaya and petitioner has directly approached this court without availing appropriate remedy before the concerned authority and in the light of aforesaid fact, the present writ petition is not maintainable.

4. Be that as it may, for seeking writ of mandamus, there must be a demand before the competent authority. At the same time, duty is cast on the concerned public authority. The first ingredient of demand before the competent authority is not forthcoming.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of as not maintainable.

6. However, disposal of the writ petition would not be hurdle for the petitioner to represent his grievance before the concerned authority. If petitioner submits his representation before the concerned authority within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, the concerned authority is directed to



hear the grievance of the petitioner and pass order in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of representation.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

shahzad/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	12.11.2024
Transmission Date	N.A.

