

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75464 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7 Year-2023 Thana- TARAIIYA District- Saran

Hari Kishore Prasad @ Doctor Son of Rajendra Prasad R/o Village -
Gopalpur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur Hat, District - Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kamlesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-12-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the
bail application of the petitioner was rejected on 27.02.2024
passed in Cr. Misc. No. 75682 of 2023.

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Taraiya P.S. Case No. 07 of 2023 registered for the offences
under Section 392 of the I.P.C.

4. The following order was passed on 27.02.2024 in
Cr. Misc. No. 75682 of 2023:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks bail in
connection with Taraiya P.S. Case No. 07 of
2023 registered for the offence punishable under*



Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Five unknown criminals looted a Tata Magic loaded with goods. The name of the petitioner has come during investigation. The charge-sheet has been submitted under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code. There is no recovery from the petitioner of the looted articles and no Test Identification Parade has been held. The petitioner is accused in seven other cases of similar nature.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner though co-accused has been granted bail.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

7. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial of all the cases pending against the petitioner.

8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the District Judge, Siwan for its compliance through FAX or e-mail forthwith.”

5. This Court does not find any ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application is rejected again.

6. The Superintendent of Police, Saran is directed to produce the witness(s) so that the trial is not delayed.



7. If the trial is delayed by the prosecution, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

