

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.78145 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-283 Year-2021 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Raushan @ Mantri @ Raushan Kumar Son of Nagendra Sharma R/O Vill.-
Nandana, P.S.- Ghosi in the Dist. of Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anil Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-12-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 24.04.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 28157 of 2024.

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The following order was passed on 24.04.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 28157 of 2024:-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Two persons have looted Rs. 90 thousand from the informant. Petitioner is in jail since 04.01.2024. Petitioner is accused in two more cases of similar nature.

4. Materials have come during investigation to connect the petitioner with the



alleged crime.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is dismissed.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has renewed his prayer for bail only on the ground of custody.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the trial has started and the charge has been framed but thereafter he does not have any instruction.

7. In view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of *X vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr*, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 3539**, I do not find this case to be a fit case for grant of bail.

8. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

