

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1309 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8710 of 2016

=====

Smt. Sangeeta Sinha W/o Sri Arbind Kumar Resident of Village - Dariyapur,
P.S. - Naubatpur, District - Patna.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Law Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director in Chief Health Service, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Deputy Director Health Service, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The District Sessions Judge Patna
5. The Registrar Civil Court, Patna
6. The Judge In-Charge Accounts, Civil Court, Danapur
7. Lakhan Deo Singh posted as Accountant in the office of Sessions Judge, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
	:	Ms. Shubhangi Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Suryadeo Yadav (AAG-9)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

6 20-02-2024 The present LPA is filed in assailing the order dated 20.05.2019 passed in CWJC No. 8710 of 2016. Appellant-*Smt. Sangeeta Sinha* stated to be daughter-in-law of deceased judicial employee. Appellant preferred CWJC No. 8710 of 2016 in claiming certain compensation. Writ petition itself is not maintainable in view of the fact that she doesn't have *locus standi*. That apart she is not one of the legal heir. No reasons



have been assigned as to why her husband-*Sri Arbind Kumar* who is son of the deceased employee has not invoked the remedy of filing writ petition in seeking compensation with reference to any policy decision of the State Government or the court's policy in respect of judicial employee who died while he was in service is entitled to compensation and eligibility criteria and who are entitled to claim has not been made known to this Court. Taking note of these facts and circumstances, appellant has not made out a case so as to interfere with the order dated 20.05.2019 passed in CWJC No. 8710 of 2016.

2. Accordingly, the present LPA stands dismissed, reserving liberty to the legal heir of the deceased employee to invoke appropriate remedy if it is available and in accordance with law.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

jjyoti/-

U			
---	--	--	--

