

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75531 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-652 Year-2023 Thana- PATRAKARNAGAR District- Patna

Abhishek Ranjan Son of Sanjay Kumar Resident of Village- Dashrathpura,
P.S.- Giriyak, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dinkar Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 22-11-2024 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State; Shri Jharkhandi Upadhyay.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner. Earlier the
bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated
19.03.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84030 of 2023.

3. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
for the offence under Sections 419, 420 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 66© of the I.T. Act (Added vide order dated
17.02.2024)

4. The following order was passed on 19.03.2024
passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84030 of 2023:-

Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection
with Patrakar Nagar P.S.Case No. 652 of 2023
registered for the offence punishable under Sections



419,420 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The petitioner is a cyber fraud and caught on the spot. There is recovery of Rs. 6 lacs, 4 ATM cards and two mobiles from possession of the petitioner.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 25.08.2023.

5. Considering the fact that huge recovery has been made from possession of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant privilege of regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this bail application is rejected. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

5. The S.H.O. Patrakar Nagar Police Station has appeared and assisted this Court and has pointed out that the petitioner is involved in the crime, seven ATM cards have been recovered and huge transaction have been done.

6. In these circumstances, this petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

