

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77925 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-111 Year-2024 Thana- NADI P.S. District- Patna

=====

Golu Kumar Son of Amarnath Rai @ Amarnath Ray Resident of Village-  
Gadhochak, P.S.- Nadi, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shyamal Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sharma, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 206-12-2024
1.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.
3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 55.57 litres of liquor from two motorcycles as detailed in the FIR.
4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of one of the seized vehicles. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and thus would create



evidence against himself and hence would get implicated, it is further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Piyush would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged, who was also apprehended from the spot.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Nadi P.S. Case No. 111 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

