

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15773 of 2023

=====

Maa Vaishnavi Enterprises a Proprietorship Firm, through its Proprietor, Shashi Bhushan Sharma, aged about 42 Years (Male), son of Umesh Sharma, Village Bharatpur ward no. 2, P.O. Bharatpur, P.S. Dulhin Bazar, District Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Mines and Geology Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary-cum-Mines Commissioner Mines and Geology Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. The Director, Mines, Bihar-cum-Chief Executive Office Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited, Patna.
4. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate Arwal.
5. The District Mining Officer Arwal.
6. The Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited, through its Chief Executive Officer Room No. 164, Vikas Bhawan (New Secretariat), Bailey Road, Patna-800015.
7. The Administrative Officer, Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited Room No. 164, Vikas Bhawan (New Secretariat), Bailey Road, Patna-800015.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Avinash Shekhar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga 7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petition has been preferred for quashing the order contained in memo no. 1987 dated 23.09.2022 passed by the Director, Mines, Bihar-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited.

3. At the outset, Mr. Naresh Dikshit, learned Special PP, Mines, provided a copy of letter issued by Bihar State



Mining Corporation Limited under the signature of its General Manager addressed to the Special PP, Mines showing the direction that has been issued for the payment of the business concern, the case of the petitioner is listed at Serial No.8, though in the remarks column, it has been incorporated that for payment, the file is moving, learned Special APP, Mines submits that this chart includes only those persons for whom decision has been taken for payment.

4. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Samdarshi submits that since he does not know the present status, the matter be disposed of with liberty that in case the facts are different, he may approach the appropriate authority.

5. Granting said liberty and in view of the statement made by Mr. Dikshit, learned Special PP, Mines, as stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

