

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15714 of 2023

=====

M/s Shivshakti Enterprises, A Proprietorship Firm, through its Proprietor, Rajeev Ranjan, aged about 43 Years (Male), Son of Late Suryadev Singh Village-Sarsi Piparadaha, Hausapur, P.O. Sarsi, P.S. Paliganj, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secreary, Mines and Geology Department, Govrenment of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. The principal Secreary-Cum-Mines Commisioner, Mines and Geology Department, Govenment of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Director, Mines, Bihar-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited, Patna.
4. The Collector-Cum-District Magistrate, Arwal.
5. The District Mining Officer, Arwal.
6. The Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited, through its Chief Executive officer, Room No. 164, Vikas Bhawan (New Secretariat), Bailey Road, Patna-800015.
7. The Administrative Officer, Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited, Room No. 164, Vikas Bhawan (New Secretariat), Bailey Road, Patna-800015.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Suraj Samdarshi, Adv. Mr. Avinash Shekhar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga 7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petition has been preferred for quashing the order contained in memo no. 2052 dated 26.09.2022 passed by the Director, Mines, Bihar-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Bihar State Mining Corporation Limited.

3. At the outset, Mr. Naresh Dikshit, learned Special PP, Mines, provided a copy of letter issued by Bihar State



Mining Corporation Limited under the signature of its General Manager addressed to the Special PP, Mines showing the direction that has been issued for the payment of the business concern, the case of the petitioner is listed at Serial No.2 by which the direction for payment of Rs. 3,29,589.10/- has been order by letter/order no. 359 dated 13.08.2024.

4. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Samdarshi submits that since he does not know the present status, the matter be disposed of with liberty that in case the facts are different, he may approach the appropriate authority.

5. Granting said liberty and in view of the statement made by Mr. Dikshit, learned Special PP, Mines, as stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

