

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16553 of 2024

1. Ravi Shankar Singh, Son of Harish Chandra Singh, Resident of Village - Bocha Bhag, Ward No - 5, P.O - Khairkhan, P.S - Forbisganj, Dist.- Araria.
2. Sukumari Devi, Daughter of Somi Mandal, Resident of Village - Kusaha, P.O - Simraha, P.S Simraha, Dist - Araria.
3. Punam Kumari, Daughter of Sundar Lal Mandal, Resident of Village - Kusaha, P.O.- Simraha, P.S.- Simraha, Dist - Araria.
4. Shailendra Kumar, Son of Bhuvneshwari Prasad Karn, Resident of Village - Professor Colony, Ward No.- 25, P.S.- Forbisganj, Dist.- Araria.
5. Satundra Kumar Karn @ Satyendra Kumar Karn, , Son of Jagannath Das, Resident of Village - Kamalpur, P.O.- Hansa P.S.-Raniganj, Dist - Araria.
6. Jay Prakash Bharti, Son of Pancha Nand Biswas, Resident of Village Khirdana, Simariya, Ward No.- 13, P.O- Jokihat, P.S- Jokihat, Dist - Araria.
7. Manish Raj Kumar Bishwas, Son of Dharmanand Bishwas, Resident of Village- Khairkhan, P.O - Khairkhan, Ward No.- 10, P.S.- Forbisganj, Dist.- Araria.
8. Rahul Kumar Verma, Son of Lokeshwar Lal Das, Resident of Village - Khairkhan, Ward No.-10, P.S.- Forbisganj, Dist.- Araria
9. Umesh Kumar, Son of Raghuvir Ram, Resident of Village- Barmasia, P.O.- Katihar, P.S.-Assistant Thana Katihar, Dist.- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna .
4. The District Education Officer, Araria.
5. The District Programme Officer (Estt.), Araria.
6. The Block Development Officer, Forbisganj, Araria.
7. The Block Education Officer, Forbisganj, Araria.
8. The Pramukh -cum- Chairman, Forbisganj Block, Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Md. Waliur Rahman
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Addl. Advocate General 04
		Mr. AC to AAG-4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER



2 19-11-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned AC to AAG-4 for the State.

2. The Court finds no merit in the writ application for the reason that the instant writ application has been filed seeking a writ of mandamus, but then, from perusal of the pleadings made in the writ application, it would manifest that the basic principles of mandamus is demand and refusal and there is no pleading to the effect that before approaching this Court, the petitioners had moved before the authority competent for seeking redressal of their grievance as raised in the instant writ application.

3. The writ application is dismissed accordingly.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

