

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1864 of 2023

=====

Urfi Minhaj Mashhadi S/O Late Minhajuddin R/O Flat No. 501, Rashida Apartment, Bank Road, P.S- Gandhi Maidan, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Home, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna. Bihar
2. Director General Of Police, Bihar, Patna. Bihar
3. Additional Dirctor General Of Police, Economic Offence Unit (E.O.U) Bihar, Patna. Bihar
4. Inspector General Of Police (Headquarter), Bihar, Patna. Bihar
5. Deputy Inspector General Of Police (Headquarter), Bihar, Patna. Bihar
6. Senior Superintendent Of Police, Patna Bihar
7. Smt. Sheela Erani, I.P.S, Presently Posted As Additional Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Ram Pravesh Nath Tiwari, Advocate Ms. Prakritita Sharma, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma, AAG-3
For EOU	:	Mr. Vishwanath Prasad Sinha, Sr. Advocate Ms. Soni Shrivastava, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 19-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties including

learned counsel for the Patna Municipal Corporation.

2. In this case, the petitioner has prayed for the

following reliefs:-

*“(i) For issuance of appropriate writ/ writs, in the
nature of writ of mandamus directing the
respondents to take appropriate legal action
against respondent no.7 for misusing her office,
accumulating assets, disproportionate to her*



known source of income and for indulging in corruption, under the provisions of prevention of corruption Act, 1988 as well as to initiate departmental proceedings against her for gross misuse of official position.

(ii) For direction/directions to the respondents to initiate appropriate proceeding against respondent no.7 in view of the enquiry report submitted by respondent no.5 vide letter no. 64 dated 19.07.2022 to respondent no. 4.

(iii) For further direction to respondent nos. 1 and 2 to take appropriate step to ensure security and safety of the petitioner in view of the threats being given to him by respondent no. 7.”

3. The petitioner has filed a complaint against respondent no.7 making allegation that she has amassed huge wealth. The complaint of the petitioner has been acted upon by the authorities and they are examining the matter.

4. On being put a specific query by this Court, the petitioner has admitted the fact that he is known to the respondent no.7.

5. It appears that the petitioner is having private dispute with the respondent no.7 and is trying to pressurize the State to act as per his wishes.

6. When the petitioner has already made a complaint and the State authorities including the police



authorities have acted upon the complaint of the petitioner, this application is not being entertained by this Court.

7. Accordingly, this criminal writ petition stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

