

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16455 of 2023

=====

Kavita Kumari Wife of Santosh Kumar, Resident of Village-Baildas, Ward No.11, Pipasa Bargahi, Post Office and Police Station-Kutumba, District-Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, I.C.D.S. Directorate, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, District-Aurangabad.
5. The District Programme Officer, District-Aurangabad.
6. The Child Development Project Officer Block-Kutumba, District-Aurangabad.
7. Sarita Kumari Wife of Dharmendra Kumar Yadav Resident of Village-Belladas, Ward No. 11, Panchayat-Pipara Baghahi, Block-Kutumba, District-Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akhilesh Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Mandal (SC- 3)
Mr. Arjun Prasad, AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

“1.(i) For issuance of an appropriate writ or writs in the nature of Mandamus for quashing the order dated 06.03.2020 vide Memo No. 538 passed by District Programme Officer in Appeal Case No. 27 of 2019 and order dated 22.01.2022 vide Memo No. 266 passed in Appeal Case No.



26/2020 by the District Magistrate, whereby and whereunder the highest marks 76% obtained by the petitioner which was rejected and lesser marks 66% has been selected, which is illegal only one ground for absent on 12.12.2018 and without obtaining the permission of Director I.C.D.S., Bihar.

(ii) For issuance of an appropriate writ or writs in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or writs, direction directions, order or orders for direction to the respondent/respondents to appoint the petitioner on the post of Anganwari Sewika at Centre (Kendra) Baladas, Ward No. 11, Code No. 260, Block-Kutumba in the District of Aurangabad which was held on 22.12.2018."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having



competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the
aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is
granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not
pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

