

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74400 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-351 Year-2024 Thana- SASARAM MUFFSIL District- Rohtas

1. Shila Devi Wife of Sri Niwas Singh Resident of Village - Chaubaya, P.O. - Nahauna, P.S. - Sasaram (M), District - Rohtas, Bihar
2. Sri Niwas Singh Son of Late Jagdish Singh Resident of Village - Chaubaya, P.O. - Nahauna, P.S. - Sasaram (M), District - Rohtas, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Puja Kumari (Devi) Wife of Chandan Singh @ Chandan Kumar and Daughter of Sri Dharendra Singh @ Bhola Singh Resident of Village - Chaubaya, P.O. - Nahauna, P.S. - Sasaram (M), District - Rohtas, Bihar and at present residing at her Maika, C/o Sri Dharendra Singh @ Bhola Singh, Village - Narayanpur, P.O. and P.S. - Chenari, District - Rohtas, Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dhananjay Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP
For O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Raghunandan Kr. Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 28-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable u/s 127(1), 115(2), 85, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 3/4 of the D.P. Act.

3. The allegation against the petitioners is that they tortured the informant for demand of dowry.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case due to vague intention. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever



taken place. It is submitted that petitioners are in-laws of the informant and there was no demand of dowry at any point of time. It is further submitted that there is no specific date or month mentioned in the FIR. The informant herself is of cruel nature. Informant used to live in separate house and petitioners have been implicated due to malafide intention. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since there is no specific overt act against the petitioners, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Sasaram (M) P.S. Case No.351 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

