

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76080 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2024 Thana- Badem P.S. District- Aurangabad

Ajay Yadav, S/o- Rajendra Yadav, R/o Village- Sasana khalora, P.S.- Badem,
District- Aurangabad (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Amrita Kumari, D/o Surendra Yadav, R/o Village-Sasana Khalora, P.S.-
Badem, District-Aurangabad (Bihar)

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjit Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Shahabuddin Azeem @ S. Azeem, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Binod Kumar Pandey, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and Mr. Shahabuddin Azeem @ S.
Azeem, learned APP for the State.

2.The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection
with Badem P.S. Case no. 52 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 126(2), 76, 352, 351(2)(3) of B.N.S. and
Sections 8/12 of the POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of
the occurrence, the cousin of the informant/victim pulled the
dupatta of the victim and attempted to tease her. From the F.I.R.
itself, it is clear that on the date of the occurrence the brother-in-



law of the victim had come there and victim was serving meals to him. When he went to attend a call, this incident took place.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. It has been argued that the brother-in-law of the informant/victim owns Rs. 26,000/- of the petitioner and he has been framed in this case only with a view to avoid that amount. From perusal of the F.I.R., it is clear that the only allegation against the petitioner is to tease the informant. The petitioner is having no criminal antecedent.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Badem P.S. Case no. 52 of 2024, he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Exclusive Judge (POCSO), Civil Court, Aurangabad (Bihar), subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

