

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17619 of 2022

=====

Ram Sagar Kumar Son of Brahamdeo Yadav resident of Village- Dhamaul,
P.S.- Rajauli, District- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary (Home), Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Patna Commissioner, Gaya.
5. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Nawada.
7. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Nawada.
8. The Additional District Collector-cum-District Additional Magistrate,
Nawada.
9. The Senior Deputy Collector, Nawada.
10. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Rajauli, Nawada.
11. The District Incharge Officer, General Section, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satish Kumar Sinha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar, AC to GP-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:-

“1(A). A writ is in nature of certiorari or any
other appropriate writ order/ orders direction
quashing the following :-

(i). Medical report dated 06.11.2019 by which the
age of the petitioner access as 40 to 60 years
(Annexure-4).

(ii). Order dated 19.10.2022 contended in memo
No.1741 rejecting the prayer petitioner to appoint
him as a Chaukidar on ground that as per medical



report his age is 40 to 60 years (Annexure-5).

(B). Writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order/orders, direction commanding the respondent to the following.

(i). To treat that the Annexure-4 & 5 to the petition are nonest in the eye of law.

(ii). To hold that the petitioner is of about 23 years on the date of application and he fully eligible to appoint as Chaukidar on compensate ground as per notification 05.03.2014 (Annexure-1 petition).

(iii). To appoint the petitioner as Chaukidar forthwith.

(iv). To consider the medical report of the petitioner in the light of Adhar card, Smart Card and matric certificate of the petitioner.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the Respondents authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

