

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.76885 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-408 Year-2021 Thana- MADHAURAH District- Saran

=====

Rajesh Singh @ Rajesh Kumar Singh Son Of Shatrudhan Singh Resident Of
Village- Rampur Koran, Ps- Madhaura, Distt- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr.Kumar Ranjit Ranjan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 19-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt for bail of the petitioner
as earlier such prayer was rejected by order dated 24.05.2023
passed in Cr. Misc. No. 29097 of 2023.

3. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Madhaura P.S. Case No. 408 of 2021 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The following order was passed on 24.05.2023 in
Cr. Misc. No. 29097 of 2023:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned Additional Public*



Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

Let the defect(s), if any, be removed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Marhowrah P. S. Case No. 408 of 2021 registered for the offence punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per prosecution case, three miscreants came at the PNB Bank Helpline Center of Tajpura and on the point of pistol, they looted Rs. 2,70,000/- along with Aadhar Card, residential certificates, I.D. Proof etc. from the CSP.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The petitioner is accused in 12 other cases as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 21.08.2022.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Learned District & Sessions Judge, Chapra is directed to expedite the trial of all the cases registered against the petitioner.

If there is no progress in the trials of the petitioner then the petitioner may review his prayer for bail.”

5. I see no reason to review my earlier order considering the antecedent of the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

7. The District and Sessions Judge, Saran at Chapra is again directed to conclude the trial of all the cases registered against the petitioner at the earliest.



8. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the District and Sessions Judge, Sarna at Chapra for its compliance through FAX or e-mail forthwith.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

