

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17481 of 2022

Shahina Khatoon Wife of Md. Ali Raza, Resident of Ward No.9, Bakhri Buzurg, P.O. Rupauli, Police Station- Musharigharari, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, I.C.D.S., Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Child Development Project Officer, Sarairanjan, Samastipur.
3. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur.
4. The District Magistrate-cum-Appellate Authority, Samastipur.
5. Afsana Khatoon Wife of Md. Kalam Rabbani, Resident of Ward No. 9, Bakhri Buzurg, P.O. Raupauli, Police Station- Musharigharari, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Mukesh Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.K. Mandal (Sc3)
Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 16-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(i). For quashing the order dated 6.12.2019, passed by the District Programme Officer, Samastipur, in case no. 156 of 2018, whereby and whereunder the selection of the petitioner on the post of Sevika has been terminated with a direction to the C.D.P.O., Sarairanjan to select Afsana Khatoon on the said post and issued selection letter in her favour. The District Programme Officer, Samastipur has also stated that against the order dated 6.12.2019, an appeal may be filed before the District Magistrate,



Samastipur within a period of 30 days.

(ii). For quashing the order dated 1.11.2022 passed in Anganwadi Appeal Case No.02 of 2020 by the learned Collector, Samastipur.

(iii). The respondent authorities may be directed to allow the petitioner to work as Anganwadi Sevika at Center No.260, Ward No.9, Sarairanjan, Samastipur as she was working since 2018 with all consequential benefits.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

