

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.75589 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2024 Thana- NARDIGANJ District- Nawada

=====

Ajay Kumar Gupta @ Ajay Kumar Son of Late Vijay Kumar Resident of  
Dohra, P.S. - Nardiganj, District - Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Mines Inspector, Nawada, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                            |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Kumar Mritunjay, Adv.  |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP. |
| For the Mines            | : | Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Adv.   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      13-12-2024              Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for  
the State and learned counsel for the Mines Department.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offence punishable under Sections 121, 303(2), 338, 336(3) of  
the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the FIR, the police team committed raid in the  
shop of the petitioner and recovered 03 *challans* and two of  
them were found duplicate.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that  
petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No  
such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. He has falsely  
been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The  
allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and



based on concocted facts. He has no concern with illegal trade of sand mining. His name has been dragged in the present case on the basis of absurd, illogical and frivolous allegation due to mala fide intention. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application and he has been languishing in judicial custody since 14.07.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the Mines Department opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail is rejected in connection with Nardiganj P.S. Case No. 208 of 2024.

7. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

divyanshi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

