

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73332 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2023 Thana- SHEKHPURA District- Sheikhpura

Nandan Yadav @ Nandan Kumar S/O Late Prabhu Yadav R/o Village-
Pathalaphar, P.S- Sheikhpura, District - Sheikhpura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Ghanshyam Tiwary, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Ms. Rina Sinha, Advocate
For the Informant :	Mr. Dinkar Kumar, Advocate
	Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 04-12-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sheikhpura P.S. Case No. 192 of 2023, lodged on 01.04.2023 under Sections 302, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been lodged against 14 named accused persons including the present petitioner alleging therein that all the accused persons surrounded the brother of the informant while he was returning from Sheikhpura Civil Court and thereafter Nandan Yadav (present petitioner) shot on the hand of the brother of the informant whereas co-accused, Abdhesh Yadav @ Avdesh



Yadav shot on his mouth, as a result of which, the brother of the informant died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further submits that case diary is necessary to look into the entire material. In fact, the petitioner has been implicated in this case falsely and is accused in two more criminal cases.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the informant submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner is that he shot on the hand of the brother of the informant.

7. Upon specific query from the counsel for the petitioner whether the charge has been framed or not, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not aware about the fact whether the charge has been framed or not.

8. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in



connection with Sheikhpura P.S. Case No. 192 of 2023, pending before the learned C.J.M., Sheikhpura is hereby rejected.

10. However, the Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

