

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74264 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-142 Year-2023 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

Chandan Sah @ Chandan Kumar Sah, S/o- Prabhu Sah Resident of Village-
Gujraul, Ward No. 2, P.S.- Patahi, Dist- East Champaran at Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Matloob Rab

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-10-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302 and 201/ 34 of the
Indian Penal Code.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is in custody since 02.03.2024 and he is a person
with clean antecedent. It is next submitted that informant alleges
that his son Satyam on 05.05.2023 was returning home, when he
was abducted by the accused persons including the petitioner
and they killed him and thereafter, threw the dead body in a
maize field. On getting information, the informant reached the
place of occurrence and saw the dead body of his son with mark
of violence/ injuries on body and there was a firearm injury on



chest of the deceased. It is next alleged that few days back Dhirendra had threatened to kill his son.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant based on suspicion. It is also submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion. It is next submitted that even allegation of threat is against Dhirendra. The learned counsel next submits that in the event, if petitioner is granted the privilege of bail, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the trial to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-XII, East Champaran at Motihari in connection with Sessions Trial No.799 of 2024 arising out of Patahi P. S. Case No.142 of 2023.

7. The application stands allowed.



8. However, in the event, if the learned trial Court comes to a conclusion that the petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, the learned trial Court shall forthwith cancel his bail bonds after recording reasons and shall take all coercive steps to ensure that petitioner is behind bar.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

