

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72318 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-219 Year-2023 Thana- BAHERI District- Darbhanga

Manoj Kumar Thakur @ Manoj Thakur S/o Jai Chandra Thakur Resident of
Vill- Sahpur ojhaul, PS- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. P.N. Shahi, Sr. Advocate Mr. Ranjit Kumar Yadav, Advocate Mr. Amit Anand, Advocate Mr. Ugresh Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 04-12-2024 Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Baheri
P.S. Case No. 219 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable
under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27
of the Arms Act.

3. The following order was passed on 10.01.2024 in
Cr. Misc. No. 84259 of 2023:

*“Heard learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail
in connection with Baheri P.S. Case No. 219 of
2023 dated 23.06.2023, lodged under Sections
302 and 34 of the I.P.C. read with Section 27
of the Arms Act.*

*3. As per the prosecution case, the
F.I.R. has been lodged against 25 unknown
accused. The name of the petitioner along with
other accused has come in this case during
investigation.*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner



submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 28.08.2023 having eight criminal antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the materials have come to connect the petitioner with the crime. In such a heinous crime of murder of three persons, the petitioner does not deserve bail.

6. Considering the fact that three persons have been killed by the petitioner and other, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and therefore, the present bail application is hereby rejected.

7. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as early as possible.”

4. Mr. P. N. Shahi, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the trial out of nine chargesheeted witnesses, two witnesses have been examined. He further submits that the petitioner on the date of occurrence was in Deoghar and therefore, he may be granted bail.

5. Considering the fact that the trial has stated and in view of the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **X vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.** reported in **2024 SCC Online SC 3539**, this application is dismissed with a direction to the trial Court to conclude the case at the earliest.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

