

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16270 of 2023

=====

Bibi Shahzadi Begum @ Shahzadi Begum Daughter of Masood Alam,
Presently residing at Village- Farasut Majhuwa, Ward No. 6, Gram
Panchayat- Pokharia, P.S.- Araria, District- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Araria.
3. The District Programme Officer, Social Welfare Department, Araria.
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Araria.
5. Raveena Parween, Wife of Firoz Alam, Resident of Village- Farasut Majhuwa, Ward No. 6, P.S.- and District- Araria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Munish Om Prakash Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Raisul Haque (SC-10)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 22-04-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*"1. That this writ petition is being
filed for setting aside the order
dated 31.12.2019/20.06.2020
passed by the District Programme
Officer, Araria being Appellate
Authority in Anganwadi Appeal
No.20/2016 in the matter of
Raveena Prween Versus Bibi
Shahzadi Begum, by which the
selection of the writ petitioner was*



set aside by rejecting the appeal preferred by Raveena Parween (Respondent No.5) and in consequence thereof a direction to the concerned authorities may be issued to reinstate the writ petitioner to the post of Anganbari Sevika as she was working since more than 1 year and also to set aside the order dated 26.08.2023 passed by the Collector, Araria, being Revisioning Authority in Anganbari Revision Case No.15/2020 in the matter of Bibi Shahzadi Begum Versus State of Bihar and others, which was dismissed without going into merit in view of order dated 02.01.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Patna High Court in CWJC No. 19366/ 2018 (Raveena Parween Versus State of Bihar and others) and/or may kindly pass any such other order/orders for which the petitioner is entitled."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies



as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

