

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74202 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2024 Thana- SHYAMPUR BHATHAN District-
Sheohar

=====

Nabab Thakur @ Nawab Thakur son of Ramiqbal Thakur @ Ramekbal
Thakur Village - Phajilpur, P. S - Rajepur , District - Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-10-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is in judicial custody in connection with Shyampur Bhataha P.S. Case No. 71 of 2024 for the offence under Sections 309(4) and 317(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, lodged on 16.07.2024 by the informant, Rekha Devi.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that he went to purchase certain medicine and while returning the accused arrived on a pulsar motorcycle, surrounded snatched the mobile as also amount/aadhar card. As they were trying to escape, the informant followed making noise which alerted the local villagers who blocked the passage of the accused persons. Accordingly, they were apprehended and gave their respective



names as Gulshan Kumar, Shravan Kumar, Nabab Thakur @ Nawab Thakur (petitioner herein), the looted articles were also recovered from this petitioner. Accordingly, the F.I.R.

4. Though learned counsel for the petitioner tried to impress upon this Court about his innocence, learned APP has pointed out that he is facing Session Trial in five cases as reflects from the paragraph no.3 of the petition.

5. The citizen of the State move on the road with the notion that they will safely reach their place of work/home but the accused person ensure that in the process they are deprived of the motorcycle/materials/amount and in some cases even their respective lives.

6. The petitioner is accused in earlier such cases also and he has been apprehended on the spot by the local villagers. In that background, this Court does not deem it fit and proper to extend him the privilege of bail for the present.

7. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

