

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73706 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-403 Year-2019 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Chunnu Thakur @ Rakesh Kumar Son of Late Kailash Thakur R/O Mohalla-
Gannipur , P.S.- Kazimohammadpur, Dist.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anish Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sharda Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 13-12-2024 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioner is seeking for bail in connection with Sadar
(East) P.S. Case No.403 of 2019, registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 414, 120B of the Indian Penal Code
and Sections 25(1-B)a, 26(ii), 35 of Arms Act.

3. As per the FIR, informant got information that petitioner
and one other co-accused is running illegal business of illicit
liquor in rented house of co-accused Rajiv Kumar. On that
information, police reached there and arrested seven persons
and recovered one pistol loaded with two cartridges and a empty
magazine from the possession of Rajiv Kumar. One pistol
loaded with cartridge, live cartridges and cash of Rs.8,79,600/-,
diary, 13 mobile phones, one motorcycle and one car were also



recovered from the spot. The apprehended persons disclosed the name of the petitioner as one of his associate.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. Petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of statement of apprehended co-accused persons. Petitioner has thirty three criminal antecedents and has been in jail since 27.04.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case as well as considering the criminal history of the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The prayer for bail on his behalf is hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

8. However, petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer of bail after framing of charge.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

