

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.293 of 2024
In
REQUEST CASE No.53 of 2020

=====

Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Limited, & Ors.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

Hindustan Constrution Company Ltd.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.P.K. Shahi, Sr. Advocate

Dr.Anand Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate

Ms.Nivedita Chaudhary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER

3 04-10-2024

The contention taken in the above case, which is agreed upon by both the parties, is that the learned Arbitrator appointed by the order in review, has been appointed as the President of the State Consumer Commission of Meghalaya. The Rules of the Government of Meghalaya is produced as Annexure-4. Rule 11(3) prohibits such President or Member to undertake any arbitration work while functioning in the capacity of a President or Member of the State Commission or the District Commission.

2. In such circumstances, the order is reviewed and the learned Arbitrator is requested not to proceed with the arbitration.



3. The Request Case shall be posted on
25.10.2024 for appointment of Arbitrator.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

Anushka/-

U			
---	--	--	--

