

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71824 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-658 Year-2022 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Amit Kumar Son of Deo Chandra Singh @ Devendra Singh R/O Village-
Amaraur, P.S.- Singhaul, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gaurav Kumar, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP
For O.P. No. 2		Mr. Gouranga Chatterjee, Adv.
		Mr. Nilanjan Chatterjee, Adv.
		Mr. Ujjwal Raj, Adv.
		Mr. Sahil Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 06-12-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, opposite
party no. 2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 341, 387, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. Petitioner along with other accused persons are said
to have demanded Rangdari Tax of Rs. 5,00,000/- from the
informant and in case of non-fulfillment of demand, he would
be killed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this
case due to land dispute. He submits that the present case is



counter blast of Muffasil Singhaul P.S. Case No. 622 of 2022. He submits that the present FIR has been lodged after delay of 10 days and there is no satisfactory explanation has been given for the said delay. He submits that Section 387 of the IPC is not attracted against the petitioner. He submits that there is general and omnibus allegation levelled against the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner has five criminal antecedents as stated in para-3 of the bail application and he is languishing in judicial custody since 20.06.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 opposing the prayer for bail submit that the petitioner has five criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner has five criminal antecedent, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail is rejected in connection with Begusarai Muffasil P.S. Case No. 658 of 2022.

7. However, petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

